# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF CODES AND STANDARDS

1800 THIRD STREET, SUITE 260, P.O. BOX 1407 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95812-1407 (916) 445-9471 FAX (916) 327-4712 From TDD Phones 1 (800) 735-2929



January 22, 2003

## **INFORMATION BULLETIN 2003-01 (EH)**

TO: Employee Housing Local Enforcement Agencies

**Employee Housing Operators** 

**Employee Housing Interested Parties City and County Building Officials** 

**Division Staff** 

**SUBJECT: 2002 LEGISLATIVE CHANGES** 

This Information Bulletin summarizes the legislative changes to the Planning and Zoning Law, (California Government Code, Title 7, Division 1, commencing with section 65000) brought about by the 2002 legislative session. These amendments may have impact on the development of employee housing facilities throughout California. The changes became effective January 1, 2003.

# Chapter 147 of the 2002 statutes (SB 1721, Soto) amends §55589.5 of the Government Code.

- Due to the lack of affordable housing in urban areas, existing law requires local agencies to make specified findings before disapproving or conditionally approving housing development projects for very low, low- or moderate-income households. This bill now applies these same provisions to farmworker housing which may include employee housing facilities.
- This bill broadens a current anti-NIMBY (Not In My Back Yard) law to include the provision that a local agency shall not disapprove, or render infeasible, a farmworker housing project through the use of design review standards unless certain conditions, specified in the current statute, are met.
- The new amendments now include farmworker housing as being eligible to pursue court action if the required specified findings are not made, or the local agency's decision is inconsistent with those findings and the findings are not supported by substantial evidence.

Information Bulletin 2003-01 Page 2 of 2

This summary of legislative changes is not represented to be a complete digest of all new laws effecting persons regulated by planning and zoning or employee housing laws. The complete text of this law can be reviewed through the Official California Legislative Information website at: <a href="https://www.leginfo.ca.gov">www.leginfo.ca.gov</a>.

For questions regarding employee housing requirements, please contact our Employee Housing Program at (916) 445-9471 or by email to <a href="mailto:bharward@hcd.ca.gov">bharward@hcd.ca.gov</a>.

Norman Sorensen Deputy Director

### Senate Bill No. 1721

### CHAPTER 147

An act to amend Section 65589.5 of the Government Code, relating to land use.

[Approved by Governor July 10, 2002. Filed with Secretary of State July 11, 2002.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1721, Soto. Land use: farmworker housing.

Existing law requires local agencies to make specified findings before disapproving or conditionally approving housing development projects for very low, low- or moderate-income households and subjects local agencies to court action if the required specified findings are not made, or the local agency's decision is inconsistent with those findings and the findings are not supported by substantial evidence.

This bill would apply these provisions to a local agency using design review standards to disapprove or render infeasible a housing development project, including farmworker housing.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 65589.5 of the Government Code is amended to read:

- 65589.5. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:
- (1) The lack of housing is a critical problem that threatens the economic, environmental, and social quality of life in California.
- (2) California housing has become the most expensive in the nation. The excessive cost of the state's housing supply is partially caused by activities and policies of many local governments that limit the approval of housing, increase the cost of land for housing, and require that high fees and exactions be paid by producers of housing.
- (3) Among the consequences of those actions are discrimination against low-income and minority households, lack of housing to support employment growth, imbalance in jobs and housing, reduced mobility, urban sprawl, excessive commuting, and air quality deterioration.
- (4) Many local governments do not give adequate attention to the economic, environmental, and social costs of decisions that result in disapproval of housing projects, reduction in density of housing projects, and excessive standards for housing projects.

Ch. 147 — 2 —

- (b) It is the policy of the state that a local government not reject or make infeasible housing developments that contribute to meeting the housing need determined pursuant to this article without a thorough analysis of the economic, social, and environmental effects of the action and without complying with subdivision (d).
- (c) The Legislature also recognizes that premature and unnecessary development of agricultural lands for urban uses continues to have adverse effects on the availability of those lands for food and fiber production and on the economy of the state. Furthermore, it is the policy of the state that development should be guided away from prime agricultural lands; therefore, in implementing this section, local jurisdictions should encourage, to the maximum extent practicable, in filling existing urban areas.
- (d) A local agency shall not disapprove a housing development project, including farmworker housing as defined in subdivision (d) of Section 50199.50 of the Health and Safety Code, for very low, low- or moderate-income households or condition approval, including through the use of design review standards, in a manner that renders the project infeasible for development for the use of very low, low- or moderate-income households unless it makes written findings, based upon substantial evidence in the record, as to one of the following:
- (1) The jurisdiction has adopted a housing element pursuant to this article that has been revised in accordance with Section 65588 and that is in substantial compliance with this article, and the development project is not needed for the jurisdiction to meet its share of the regional housing need for very low, low-, or moderate-income housing.
- (2) The development project as proposed would have a specific, adverse impact upon the public health or safety, and there is no feasible method to satisfactorily mitigate or avoid the specific adverse impact without rendering the development unaffordable to low- and moderate-income households. As used in this paragraph, a "specific, adverse impact" means a significant, quantifiable, direct, and unavoidable impact, based on objective, identified written public health or safety standards, policies, or conditions as they existed on the date the application was deemed complete.
- (3) The denial of the project or imposition of conditions is required in order to comply with specific state or federal law, and there is no feasible method to comply without rendering the development unaffordable to low- and moderate-income households.
- (4) Approval of the development project would increase the concentration of lower income households in a neighborhood that already has a disproportionately high number of lower income households and there is no feasible method of approving the

**— 3** — Ch. 147

development at a different site, including those sites identified pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 65583, without rendering the development unaffordable to low- and moderate-income households.

- (5) The development project is proposed on land zoned for agriculture or resource preservation that is surrounded on at least two sides by land being used for agricultural or resource preservation purposes, or which does not have adequate water or wastewater facilities to serve the project.
- (6) The development project is inconsistent with both the jurisdiction's zoning ordinance and general plan land use designation as specified in any element of the general plan as it existed on the date the application was deemed complete, and the jurisdiction has adopted a housing element pursuant to this article.
- (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to relieve the local agency from complying with the Congestion Management Program required by Chapter 2.6 (commencing with Section 65088) of Division 1 of Title 7 or the California Coastal Act (Division 20 (commencing with Section 30000) of the Public Resources Code). Neither shall anything in this section be construed to relieve the local agency from making one or more of the findings required pursuant to Section 21081 of the Public Resources Code or otherwise complying with the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code).
- (f) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit a local agency from requiring the development project to comply with written development standards, conditions, and policies appropriate to, and consistent with, meeting the quantified objectives relative to the development of housing, as required in the housing element pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 65583. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit a local agency from imposing fees and other exactions otherwise authorized by law which are essential to provide necessary public services and facilities to the development project.
- (g) This section shall be applicable to charter cities because the Legislature finds that the lack of housing is a critical statewide problem.
  - (h) The following definitions apply for the purposes of this section:
- (1) "Feasible" means capable of being accomplished in a successful manner within a reasonable period of time, taking into account economic, environmental, social, and technological factors.
- (2) "Housing for very low, low-, or moderate-income households" means that either (A) at least 20 percent of the total units shall be sold or rented to lower income households, as defined in Section 50079.5 of the Health and Safety Code, or (B) 100 percent of the units shall be sold

Ch. 147 — **4** —

or rented to moderate-income households as defined in Section 50093 of the Health and Safety Code, or middle-income households, as defined in Section 65008 of this code. Housing units targeted for lower income households shall be made available at a monthly housing cost that does not exceed 30 percent of 60 percent of area median income with adjustments for household size made in accordance with the adjustment factors on which the lower income eligibility limits are based. Housing units targeted for persons and families of moderate income shall be made available at a monthly housing cost that does not exceed 30 percent of 100 percent of area median income with adjustments for household size made in accordance with the adjustment factors on which the moderate income eligibility limits are based.

- (3) "Area median income" means area median income as periodically established by the Department of Housing and Community Development pursuant to Section 50093 of the Health and Safety Code. The developer shall provide sufficient legal commitments to ensure continued availability of units for very low or low-income households in accordance with the provisions of this subdivision for 30 years.
- (4) "Neighborhood" means a planning area commonly identified as such in a community's planning documents, and identified as a neighborhood by the individuals residing and working within the neighborhood. Documentation demonstrating that the area meets the definition of neighborhood may include a map prepared for planning purposes which lists the name and boundaries of the neighborhood.
- (5) "Disapprove the development project" includes any instance in which a local agency does either of the following:
- (A) Votes on a proposed housing development project application and the application is disapproved.
- (B) Fails to comply with the time periods specified in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 65950. An extension of time pursuant to Article 5 (commencing with Section 65950) shall be deemed to be an extension of time pursuant to this paragraph.
- (i) If any city, county, or city and county denies approval or imposes restrictions, including design changes, a reduction of allowable densities or the percentage of a lot that may be occupied by a building or structure under the applicable planning and zoning in force at the time the application is deemed complete pursuant to Section 65943, that have a substantial adverse effect on the viability or affordability of a housing development for very low, low-, or moderate-income households, and the denial of the development or the imposition of restrictions on the development is the subject of a court action which challenges the denial, then the burden of proof shall be on the local legislative body to show that its decision is consistent with the findings as described in

**— 5** — Ch. 147

subdivision (d) and that the findings are supported by substantial evidence in the record.

- (j) When a proposed housing development project complies with applicable, objective general plan and zoning standards and criteria, including design review standards, in effect at the time that the housing development project's application is determined to be complete, but the local agency proposes to disapprove the project or to approve it upon the condition that the project be developed at a lower density, the local agency shall base its decision regarding the proposed housing development project upon written findings supported by substantial evidence on the record that both of the following conditions exist:
- (1) The housing development project would have a specific, adverse impact upon the public health or safety unless the project is disapproved or approved upon the condition that the project be developed at a lower density. As used in this paragraph, a "specific, adverse impact" means a significant, quantifiable, direct, and unavoidable impact, based on objective, identified written public health or safety standards, policies, or conditions as they existed on the date the application was deemed complete.
- (2) There is no feasible method to satisfactorily mitigate or avoid the adverse impact identified pursuant to paragraph (1), other than the disapproval of the housing development project or the approval of the project upon the condition that it be developed at a lower density.
- (k) If in any action brought to enforce the provisions of this section, a court finds that the local agency disapproved a project or conditioned its approval in a manner rendering it infeasible for the development of housing for very low, low-, or moderate-income households, including farmworker housing, without making the findings required by this section or without making sufficient findings supported by substantial evidence, the court shall issue an order or judgment compelling compliance with this section within 60 days, including, but not limited to, an order that the local agency take action on the development project. The court shall retain jurisdiction to ensure that its order or judgment is carried out and shall award reasonable attorney fees and costs of suit to the plaintiff or petitioner who proposed the housing development, except under extraordinary circumstances in which the court finds that awarding fees would not further the purposes of this section. If the court determines that its order or judgment has not been carried out within 60 days, the court may issue further orders as provided by law to ensure that the purposes and policies of this section are fulfilled.
- (1) In any action, the record of the proceedings before the local agency shall be filed as expeditiously as possible and, notwithstanding Section 1094.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure, all or part of the record may be

Ch. 147 — **6** —

filed (1) by the petitioner with the petition or petitioner's points and authorities, (2) by the respondent with respondent's points and authorities, (3) after payment of costs by the petitioner, or (4) as otherwise directed by the court. If the expense of preparing the record has been borne by the petitioner and the petitioner is the prevailing party, the expense shall be taxable as costs.



# OFFICIAL CALIFORNIA LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION

Welcome to the official site for California legislative information. This WWW site is maintained by the <u>Legislative Counsel</u> of California, pursuant to California law. For more information, you may read <u>Accessing California Legislative Information on the Internet</u>, refer to <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or visit other <u>Legislative WWW sites</u>. For new additions to our site, see our <u>New Features</u> page.

TODAY'S EVENTS BILL INFORMATION CALIFORNIA

YOUR LEGISLATURE LEGISLATIVE PUBLICATIONS

Feedback

Help